

1  
2  
3 JS-6  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SREAM, INC, a California corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

SHAW STREET BOOKS, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:16-cv-00845-MWF-KS

**STIPULATED ORDER TO:**

- (1) ENTER CONSENT DECREE  
FOR PERMANENT  
INJUNCTION AGAINST  
DEFENDANT SHAW STREET  
BOOKS, INC.**
- (2) DISMISS DEFENDANT SHAW  
STREET BOOKS, INC. FROM  
THE ACTION WITH  
PREJUDICE**

## **ORDER FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

This Court, having made the following findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to the parties' stipulation:

A. Plaintiff Sream, Inc. (“Sream” or “Plaintiff”) filed suit against Defendant Shaw Street Books, Inc. (“SSB-lnc”), alleging that SSB-lnc violated Sream’s rights under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1116, 1125(a), (c), and (d), and Cal. Bus & Prof. § 17200 *et seq.* (“Action”);

B. The Parties entered into a confidential settlement agreement effective as of November 29, 2016 (“Settlement Agreement”), which requires entry of the stipulated judgment set forth herein;

And good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED,  
AND DECREED THAT:

1. For the purposes of binding preclusive effect on SSB-lnc as to disputes occurring after November 29, 2016, between SSB-lnc and Sream, and only for such purposes, SSB-lnc admits the following:

- a. Mr. Martin Birzle is now, and has been at all times since the dates of issuance, the owner of United States Trademark Registration Nos. 2,235,638; 2,307,176; and 3,675,839 (the “RooR Marks”) and of all rights thereto and thereunder.
- b. The RooR Marks are valid and enforceable.
- c. Since at least 2013, Plaintiff Sream has been the exclusive licensee of the RooR Marks in the United States. Mr. Birzle has been granted all enforcement rights to Sream to sue for obtain injunctive and monetary relief for past and future infringement of the RooR Marks.

2. Effective November 29, 2016, SSB-lnc, and those acting on SSB-lnc's behalf (including its owners, shareholders, principals, officers, agents, servants, employees, independent contractors, and partners), are permanently enjoined from producing, manufacturing, distributing, selling, offer for sale, advertising, promoting, licensing, or marketing (a) any product bearing the RooR Marks without license or (b) any design, mark,

1 or feature that is confusingly similar to the RooR Marks (collectively, the “**Permanent**  
2 **Injunction**”).

3 3. SSB-lnc is bound by the Permanent Injunction regardless of whether Mr.  
4 Martin Birzle assigns or licenses his intellectual property rights to another for so long as  
5 such trademark rights are subsisting, valid, and enforceable. The Permanent Injunction  
6 inures to the benefit of Mr. Martin Birzle successors, assignees, and licensees.

7 4. This Court (or if this Court is unavailable, any court within the Central District  
8 of California) shall retain jurisdiction over all disputes between and among the Parties  
9 arising out of the Settlement Agreement and Permanent Injunction, and interpretation of  
10 their respective terms.

11 5. The Parties waive any rights to appeal this Permanent Injunction.

12 6. After entry of the Permanent Injunction, Defendant SSB-lnc shall be  
13 dismissed from the Action, *with prejudice*, with each party to bear their own attorneys’ fees  
14 and costs.

15  
16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17  
18 Dated: November 29, 2016  
19



20  
21 Hon. Michael W. Fitzgerald  
22 United States District Court Judge  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28